

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/611,683 | VERMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | OLUBUSOLA OMOSEWO | 2168 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) OLUBUSOLA OMOSEWO

(3) _____

(2) Wesley C. Rosander

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 January 2008

Time: 4PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-27, 45-55 and 55-60

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested an amendment to claims 56 to correct the spelling error. Applicant agreed to cancell claims 1-27 and 45-55 to put the application in a condition for allowance. Applicant also authorized an Examiner's Amendment .

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)